

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vuginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/918,440 | 08/01/2001 | Koichi Maruyama | P21012 | 4706 |
| 7055 | 7590 08/05/2003 | | | |
| GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. | | | EXAMINER | |
| 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191 | | AMARI, ALESSANDRO V | | |
| | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2872 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| • | 09/918,440 | MARUYAMA, KOICHI |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| - | Alessandro V. Amari | 2872 |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication app | | |
| Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, ma within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) I cause the application to becom | y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 /\(\) | Mav 2003 . | |
| | is action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | | natters, prosecution as to the merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | WIT HOTH CONSIDERATION. | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement | |
| Application Papers | r olootion roquironioni. | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accep | oted or b) objected to I | y the Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in at | eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on | _is: a)∏ approved b)[| disapproved by the Examiner. |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | oly to this Office action. | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S. | C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received i | n Application No |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But* See the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a |)). |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | c priority under 35 U.S | C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice | ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) . |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/918,440 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Appeal Brief

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 19 May 2003, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection as set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saito U.S. Patent 6,313,956.

Application/Control Number: 09/918,440

Art Unit: 2872

In regard to claims 1, 6 and 7, Saito et al discloses (see Figures 12(a), 12(b), 13 and 16(a)) an objective lens for an optical pick-up, at least one surface of said objective lens being an aspherical surface as described in column 13, lines 1-5, said at least one surface being divided into an effective area (Sd2) and an outer area (Sd3) outside said effective area, said effective area and said outer area being formed such that a predetermined gap is caused between a spherical aberration of a light beam passed through said effective area and a spherical aberration of a light beam passed through said outer area as described in column 11, lines 29-37, a diffraction lens structure being formed on said at least one surface within said effective area as described in column 18, lines 4-10, said outer area being connected with a base curve which is a macroscopic shape of said at least one surface within said effective area as shown in Figures 12(a), 13 and 16(a) and as described in column 27, lines 29-37, the light beam passed through said effective area forming a beam spot on a predetermined surface, the light beam passed through said outer area being diffused on the predetermined surface in comparison with the beam spot as shown in Figures 12(a) and 13 and as described in column 13, lines 1-24.

Regarding claims 2 and 8, Saito discloses said diffraction lens structure including a plurality of concentric annular zones formed on said at least one surface as described in column 18, lines 4-10.

Regarding claims 5 and 9, Saito discloses that said at least one surface in said outer area is a continuous surface having no diffraction lens structure as shown in Figure 12(a) and 13.

Regarding claim 10, Saito discloses that said outer area being configured such that a predetermined gap is provided between a spherical aberration of the light beam passing through said effective area and a spherical aberration of a light beam passing through said area as described in column 11, lines 29-37.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 4, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito U.S. Patent 6,313,956.

Regarding claims 3, 4, 11 and 12, Saito discloses the invention as set forth above but does not teach an absolute value of said gap is equal to or greater than 10 micrometers or an absolute value of said gap is approximately 200 micrometers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the gaps having the specified values, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. One would have been motivated to select the gaps with the specified values for the purpose of correcting for spherical aberration. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Application/Control Number: 09/918,440 Page 5

Art Unit: 2872

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yamazaki et al U.S. Patent 6,370,103 teaches an objective lens for an optical pick-up, at least one surface of said objective lens being an aspherical surface, said at least one surface being divided into an effective area and an outer area outside said effective area, said effective area and said outer area being formed such that a predetermined gap is caused between a spherical aberration of a light beam passed through said effective area and a spherical aberration of a light beam passed through said outer area, a diffraction lens structure being formed on said at least one surface within said effective area, said outer area being connected with a base curve which is a macroscopic shape of said at least one surface within said effective area, the light beam passed through said effective area forming a beam spot on a predetermined surface, the light beam passed through said outer area being diffused on the predetermined surface in comparison with the beam spot as shown in Figures 5(a) and 6 and as described in column 25, lines 49-67 and column 29, lines 11-18.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alessandro V. Amari whose telephone number is (703) 306-0533. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (703) 305-0024. The fax phone numbers for

Application/Control Number: 09/918,440

Art Unit: 2872

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

ava (**/4 July 23, 2003

> MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6